



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,119	02/16/2000	Joseph D. Revnell	REV02 P-300	5682
277	7590	01/15/2004	EXAMINER	
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON			JAGAN, MIRELLYS	
695 KENMOOR, S.E.			ART UNIT	
P O BOX 2567			PAPER NUMBER	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			2859	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 20

Application Number: 09/505,119
Filing Date: February 16, 2000
Appellant(s): REVNELL, JOSEPH D.

MAILED

JAN 15 2004

GROUP 2800

Marcus Dolce
For Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

*Vacated
See Paper # 21*

This is in response to the Reply Brief filed 10/20/03.

Art Unit: 2859

Items (1)-(6)

The Examiner's Answer stands with respect to Items (1)-(6).

Item (7) Grouping of Claims

The appellant's statements of the grouping of claims in the Reply Brief are correct. Therefore, a Supplemental Examiner's Answer to Issue 6 is stated below.

Items (8)-(10)

The Examiner's Answer stands with respect to Items (8)-(10).

Item (11) Response to Arguments

The Examiner's Answer stands with respect to Issues 1-5 and 7-19.

Issue 6: Whether claims 5 and 57 are unpatentable over Barker in view of Moxey and Rauch et al.

The Examiner's Answer stands with respect to claim 5.

With respect to claim 57, Appellant states that claim 57 is patentable over Barker in view of Moxey and Rauch et al because neither Barker, Moxey, nor Rauch et al disclose making a mark along the edge of the front leg. These arguments are not persuasive since in adding a tape-guiding leg, as taught by Rauch et al, to the device of Barker, the leg will extend under the tape to support the tape and its edge will form an extension of the edge 22, which is used for

Art Unit: 2859

making markings. Therefore, in making markings along the edge of the slot, a marking will also be made along the edge of the front leg since the edge of the front leg is coextensive with the edge of the slot.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,


mj
January 6, 2004



Mirellys Jagan
Assistant Examiner



Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Diego Gutierrez 
Supervisory Patent Examiner

PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON
695 KENMOOR, S.E.
P O BOX 2567
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501